

I am against HB NO. 6355.

QUESTION (1): Establish a risk protection order and to expand upon the list of persons who may be complainants for purposes of issuance of a risk warrant.

ANSWER FROM ME: There is already a system in place dealing with risk protection orders. We just need to do a better job with the identifying and enforcement of each order. There is NO need to “Beat the dead horse” here. The House has much more important issues the to rehash something that is already in place.

QUESTION (2): Require the Judicial Branch to develop and make available a form and explanatory materials to persons applying for a risk protection order.

ANSWER FROM ME: AGAIN, there is already a system in place dealing with risk protection orders. The Judicial Branch already has a heavy load on them. Let us not burden them more.

QUESTION (3 &4): Disqualify persons subject to a standing risk protection order from possessing firearms or ammunition; and penalize any such possession.

ANSWER FROM ME: To take away a person’s Constitutional right of due process and take away his/her firearms and ammunition before having a hearing. This is “**Guilty until proven innocent**” based simply upon another is, “he said she said. You do not want to go directly to a judge without giving the defendant a chance to voice their side of the story.

This is akin to; I should be able to go directly to a judge, get a protection order against my wife, take away her car because she does not drive as good as good as me. Ridiculous right!

Please, strike down this Bill.

Ralph Cerulo

3616 Old Town Rd.

Bridgeport, CT. 06606

cerulo3616@gmail.com